## Volumetric Basis Reduction for Global Seamless Parameterization of Meshes

## Introduction

In this supplement, we describe how to construct positional and translational functions for satisfying the seam constraints. We assume that we are given a mesh $M$ and a set of (oriented) seams $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with associated rotations $r_{\sigma} \in\{1, \mathbf{i},-1,-\mathbf{i}\}$ satisfying $r_{\sigma^{-1}}=$ $r_{\sigma}^{-1}$. For a given choice of consistent translations shifts across seams, $\left\{t_{\sigma}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}\left(\right.$ with $\left.t_{\sigma^{-1}}=-r_{\sigma}^{-1} t_{\sigma}\right)$, our goal is to define a function space making it easy to express functions $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following condition:
Seam Constraint (Mesh): For any point $p$ on any seam $\sigma$, the limits of the function values as we approach the point $p$ from below and above the seam satisfy:

$$
\lim _{p^{+} \rightarrow p} F\left(p^{+}\right)=r_{\sigma}\left(\lim _{p^{-} \rightarrow p} F\left(p^{-}\right)\right)+t_{\sigma}
$$

where $t_{\sigma}$ is the prescribed translation across $\sigma$.

## Local Domain Graph

We consider a single basis function $B: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, suppressing its index for simplicity as in the paper. This function is supported on the open, connected region $D$, which we cut into components with the seams in $\Sigma$ :

$$
\bigcup_{i} D_{i}=D-\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma .
$$

Using region $D_{j}$ 's indicator function $\chi_{j}(p)$, we can decompose $B(p)$ into a sum of individual basis functions $B_{j}(p)=\chi_{j}(p) B(p)$ as in the paper.
We define $D$ 's local domain graph, $\mathcal{G}_{D}$, with nodes $v \in V\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)$ corresponding to the components $D_{v}$ and with edges $\{v, w\}$ for any two regions sharing a seam (i.e. $\left.\overline{D_{v}} \cap \overline{D_{w}} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset\right) . \mathcal{G}_{D}$ is a directed graph since we care about the direction in which we cross a seam, but because its edges always come in pairs $e=\{v, w\}, e^{-1}=\{w, v\}$, we pretend that it is undirected when discussing spanning trees and cycles.
For convenience, we consider functions on $\mathcal{G}_{D}$ 's vertices that are equivalent to the mesh functions spanned by $B_{j}$ due to the mapping:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left\{V\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right\} & \longrightarrow & \{M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \\
F(v) & \mapsto & F(p)=\sum_{v \in V\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)} F(v) B_{v}(p) . \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

Now we can replace the seam constraints for a function on the mesh with the constraint that $F: V\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:

Seam Constraint (Graph): For any edge $\{v, w\}=e \in E\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)$ we must have:

$$
F(w)=r_{\ell(e)} F(v)+t_{\ell(e)}
$$

where $\ell(e) \in \Sigma$ is the seam corresponding to edge $e .{ }^{1}$

Notation: Given a path $\pi \subset E\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)$ and given an edge along the path, $e \in \pi$, we denote by $\pi_{e}^{-}$and $\pi_{e}^{+}$the two halves of the path on either side of $e$ :

$$
\pi=\pi_{e}^{+} \circ \rho \circ \pi_{e}^{-}
$$

[^0]Definition: We define the product of rotations along the path $\pi \subset$ $E\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)$ as:

$$
R(\pi)=\prod_{e \in \pi} r_{\ell(e)}
$$

For consistency, we set $R(\emptyset)=1$.

Definition: Similarly, for a given seam $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we define:

$$
T^{\sigma}(\pi)=\sum_{e \in \pi} R\left(\pi_{e}^{+}\right) \delta_{\sigma, e} \text { with } \delta_{\sigma, e}=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
1 & \text { if } \sigma=\ell(e) \\
-r_{\sigma}^{-1} & \text { if } \sigma=\ell\left(e^{-1}\right) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

For consistency, we set $T^{\sigma}(\emptyset)=0$.

Proof of Proposition 1: Letting $\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}$ denote the concatenation of two paths, and letting $\pi^{-1}$ denote the reverse of a path, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
R\left(\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}\right)=R\left(\pi_{1}\right) \cdot R\left(\pi_{2}\right) & T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}\right)=R\left(\pi_{2}\right) T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \\
R\left(\pi^{-1}\right)=R^{-1}(\pi) & T^{\sigma}\left(\pi^{-1}\right)=-R^{-1}(\pi) T^{\sigma}(\pi) \\
R\left(\pi^{-1} \circ \pi\right)=1 & T^{\sigma}\left(\pi^{-1} \circ \pi\right)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the definitions of $R(\pi)$ and $T^{\sigma}(\pi)$ are homotopy-invariant.

## Proof of Proposition 2

Once we know graph function $F$ 's value on some vertex $u \in \mathcal{G}_{D}$, the seam constraints for the edges of a spanning tree, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}$, determine the values at all $v \in \mathcal{G}_{D}$ because $\mathcal{G}_{D}$ is strongly connected. We show that these values are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}(v)=c_{u} \tilde{F}_{u}(v)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma}(v), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positional and translational functions $\tilde{F}_{u}$ and $\tilde{F}_{u}{ }^{\sigma}$ defined below. Of course, when $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D} \neq \mathcal{G}_{D}$ (because of cycles), there will be an additional constraint added by each "undirected" edge not in this spanning tree, but this is not considered for Proposition 2.

Traversing a path $\pi_{v u}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}$ from $u$ to $v$, we accumulate a rotation of $R\left(\pi_{v u}\right)$ and a contribution of $T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)$ to $t_{\sigma}$ 's coefficient. This motivates the following definitions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}_{u}(v)=R\left(\pi_{v u}\right), \quad \tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma}(v)=\frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)}{2}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indeed satisfy the constraints as we prove in Lemma 1. Since all paths on tree $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}$ are homotopy equivalent and $R\left(\pi_{v u}\right)$ and $T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)$ are homotopy-invariant, these functions are uniquely defined. The division by two is needed because of our redundant use of both $t_{\sigma}$ and $t_{\sigma^{-1}}$ : for every edge $e \in \pi_{v u}$, we accumulate not only translation $t_{\ell(e)}$ but also the identical translation $-r_{\ell(e)} t_{\ell\left(e^{-1}\right)}$.
Lemma 1. Function $\tilde{F}(v)$ in (3) with translational and positional functions from (4) satisfies every seam constraint in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}$.

Proof. Taking any $\{v, w\}=e \in E\left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}(w) & =c_{u} R\left(e \circ \pi_{v u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(e \circ \pi_{v u}\right)}{2} \\
& =r_{\ell(e)} c_{u} R\left(\pi_{v u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{r_{\ell(e)} T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)+\delta_{\sigma, e}}{2} \\
& =r_{\ell(e)}\left[c_{u} R\left(\pi_{v u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)}{2}\right]+\frac{t_{\ell(e)}-r_{\ell(e)} t_{\ell\left(e^{-1}\right)}}{2} \\
& =r_{\ell(e)} \tilde{F}(v)+t_{\ell(e)},
\end{aligned}
$$

so we see that the edge's constraint is satisfied.
Completing the Proof: Picking $u=0$, corresponding to the reference domain $D_{0}$, and applying mapping (1), the constrained mesh function can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(p) & =\sum_{j}\left[c_{0} R\left(\pi_{j 0}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{j 0}\right)}{2}\right] B_{j}(p) \\
& =c_{0}\left[\sum_{j} R\left(\pi_{j 0}\right) B_{j}(p)\right]+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma}\left[\sum_{j} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{j 0}\right)}{2} B_{j}(p)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

revealing $\tilde{B}$ and $\hat{B}_{l o c}^{\sigma}$ as presented in Proposition 2.

## Accounting for Cycles in $\mathcal{G}_{D}$

The constraints on edges $E^{\text {cyc }}:=E\left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}\right) \backslash E\left(\mathcal{G}_{D}\right)$ (if any exist) generally remain unsatisfied by (3): for $\{v, w\}=e \in E^{\text {cyc }}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\ell(e)} \tilde{F}(v)+t_{\ell(e)} & =r_{\ell(e)}\left[c_{u} R\left(\pi_{v u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{v u}\right)}{2}\right]+t_{\ell(e)} \\
& \neq c_{u} R\left(\pi_{w u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{w u}\right)}{2}=\tilde{F}(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, we can construct a new graph function $F$ satisfying this constraint. The clearest way is to first traverse back to the source vertex $u$ on both sides of the constraint by following $\pi_{w u}^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{u} R\left(\pi_{w u}^{-1} \circ e \circ \pi_{v u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{w u}^{-1} \circ e \circ \pi_{v u}\right)}{2} \\
& \quad! \\
& \stackrel{!}{=} c_{u} R\left(\pi_{w u}^{-1} \circ \pi_{w u}\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\pi_{w u}^{-1} \circ \pi_{w u}\right)}{2}=c_{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging, we arrive at the linear equality constraint on the positional and translational coefficients:

$$
c_{u}\left(R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)-1\right)+\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\zeta_{e}\right)}{2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0
$$

where $\zeta_{e}=\pi_{w u}^{-1} \circ e \circ \pi_{v u}$ is the cycle formed by adding edge $e$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{D}$. Note that if $R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)=1$, positional coefficient $c_{u}$ disappears from the constraint, and we're left with a constraint on the translation variables, $t_{\sigma}$, only. However, when $R\left(\zeta_{e}\right) \neq 1$, we choose to eliminate $c_{u}$ using the constraint:

$$
c_{u}=\frac{1}{1-R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{\sigma} \frac{T^{\sigma}\left(\zeta_{e}\right)}{2} .
$$

In doing this, we remove $\tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma_{m}}$ (mesh function $\tilde{B}$ ) as a distinct basis function, but we fold its contribution into the translational basis functions that $c_{u}$ depends on.

We repeat this procedure for every $e \in E^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, collecting all translational coefficient constraints into a linear system. We then solve for a set of independent translations, $t_{n}$ for $n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{t}$, determining dependent translations $t_{d}$ for $d \in I_{d e p}^{t}$ by a linear combination: $t_{d}=\sum_{n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{\prime}} w_{d n} t_{n}$. For convenience, we extend this weight matrix $W$ to compute all translations ( $t_{m}$ for $m \in I_{\text {all }}^{t}=I_{i n d}^{t} \cup I_{d e p}^{t}$ ) by adding rows $w_{m n}=\delta_{m n}$ (Kronecker delta) for $m, n \in I_{i n d}^{t}$.
If all cycles have $R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)=1$, our final graph function $F$ is given by expressing $\tilde{F}$ in terms of the independent translations $t_{n}$ for $n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) & =c_{u} \tilde{F}_{u}(v)+\sum_{m \in I_{a l l}^{I}}\left[\sum_{n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{\prime}} w_{m n} t_{n}\right] \tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma_{m}}(v) \\
& =c_{u} \tilde{F}_{u}(v)+\sum_{n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{I}} t_{n}\left[\sum_{m \in I_{a l l}^{I}} w_{m n} \tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma_{m}}(v)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the fact that each translational coefficient $t_{m}$ corresponds to some seam $\sigma_{m}$. If any of the cycles has $R\left(\zeta_{e}\right) \neq 1$, eliminating the positional coefficient $c_{u}$ gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) & =\sum_{m \in I_{a l l}^{I}}\left[\sum_{n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{I}} t_{n} w_{m n}\right]\left[\tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma_{m}}(v)+\frac{1}{1-R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)} \frac{T^{\sigma_{m}}\left(\zeta_{e}\right)}{2} \tilde{F}_{u}(v)\right] \\
& =\sum_{n \in I_{\text {ind }}^{l}} t_{n}\left(\sum_{m \in I_{a l l}^{t}} w_{m n}\left[\tilde{F}_{u}^{\sigma_{m}}(v)+\frac{1}{1-R\left(\zeta_{e}\right)} \frac{T^{\sigma_{m}}\left(\zeta_{e}\right)}{2} \tilde{F}_{u}(v)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By mapping these into mesh functions and repeating for each general basis function $B$, we arrive at Proposition 3.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that this formulation implicitly assumes that the basis functions form a partition of unity.

